

DoD Procurement Conference and Training Symposium

May 13, 2010

Peer Reviews

Dick Ginman
Deputy Director, DPAP



Peer Reviews--Conceptual Idea

- Cross-sharing of ideas
- Not a "compliance" review
- Ensuring a consistent approach
- Taking the time to improve quality



Peer Review Process

- Pre-Award Reviews (3 Phases)
 - Prior to issuance of solicitation
 - Prior to request for final proposals
 - Prior to contract award
- Post-Award Reviews (Service Contracts Only)
 - Following award of basic contract
 - Prior to award of any contract

ontion

- Pre-Award review criteria:
 - Process was well understood by Government & Industry;
 - Source Selection was carried out in accordance with Source Selection Plan & RFP;
 - The Source Selection Evaluation Board evaluation was clearly documented;
 - The Source Selection Advisory Council recommendations were clearly documented;
 - The Source Selection Authority decision was clearly derived from the conduct of the source selection process;
 - All source selection documentation is consistent with RFL evaluation criteria; and
 - The business arrangement.
- Post-Award review criteria:
 - Contract performance (cost, schedule, requirements);
 - Use of appropriate contracting mechanisms;
 - Contractor's use, management/oversight of subcontracts;
 - Staffing of contract management & Oversight functions;
 - Extent of any pass-through charges;
 - Identification of inherently governmental functions; and

• Any financial conflicts of interest



Peer Reviews Conducted (Since the policy went into effect on 1 Oct 08)

Reviewed Agency	Total	Phase One	Phase Two	Phase Three	Post Award
Army	29	12	8	7	2
Navy	16	7	6	3	0
Air Force	26	9	10	5	2
DIA	2	1	0	1	0
DLA	4	4	0	0	0
MDA	6	2	2	2	0
NGA	1	1	0	0	0
OSD	3	1	1	1	0
USSCOCOM	4	2	1	1	0
USTRANSCOM	3	2	0	0	1
TMA	2	1	0	1	0
	96	42	28	21	5



Team Composition

- Typically, 3-5 person team
- Contracting senior leaders
 - From military department or defense agency <u>other</u>
 <u>than</u> the department/agency being reviewed
- Attorneys (from OSD or MILDEP/agency)
- Engineers/Program Managers (as appropriate)
- DPAP Deputy Director

Participation by Functional Field

Reviewer's Agency	Total Participan ts	Contracti ng	Legal	Program Manageme nt/Engineer ing
Army	25	20	5	0
Navy	34	26	8	0
Air Force	28	21	6	1
DLA	8	4	4	0
OSD	26	10	7	9
Commands, Defense Agencies, DoD Field Activities	14	8	5	1
Totals	135	89	35	11



Procedural Issues

- Peer Review teams travel to the site of the acquisition team
- Documentation of the Review
 - Report with findings, recommendations, best practices, and lessons learned
 - Contracting Officer's record of disposition



Observations

- ☐ People Quality/Experience matters
- ☐ Complexity No end to level we'll go; think simplicity
- Program Manager Drivers vis a vis Selection Criteria
- ☐ Speed kills be event driven
- ☐ Don't keep contractors in the dark
- ☐ Measurement of requirements
- □ Documentation Not written down means not done
- Cross Pollination
- ☐ Discussions Hold them
- Linking the requirement to Section L to Section M
- ☐ Evaluate what you ask for; ask for what you want
- ☐ Incentives tie to performance not process
- Sample tasks in service competitions, use them
- □ Training
- ☐ Sole source It's not negotiate to budget



Database of Peer Review Recommendations, Best Practices and

Laccone Laarnad

DPAP

Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy



In This Section

Text Size - + Print Page

DPAP > Contract Policy and International Contracting > Contract Policy > Peer Reviews

Peer Reviews

DPAP Home

DPAP Operations

Defense Acquisition Regulations System

Contract Policy and International Contracting

Contract Policy

International Contracting

Contingency Contracting and Aquisition Policy

Aquisition Policy

Contingency Contracting

Cost, Pricing & Finance

Program Acquisition and Strategic Sourcing

Program Acquisition

Strategic Sourcing

Program Development and Implementation

Background

The Peer Review program improves the quality of the Department's contracting processes by sharing lessons learned and best practices and ensuring that contracting officers implement policy and regulations in a consistent manner. The program is implemented in accordance with DoDI 5000.02 and DFARS Part 201.

DPAP Peer Review Memorandum (September 29, 2008)

Current Trends and Lessons Learned

- Peer Review Recommendations Lessons Learned—Phase 1 (as of 6 May 2010)
- Peer Review Recommendations Lessons Learned--Phase 2 (as of 6 May 2010)
- Peer Review Recommendations Lessons Learned--Phase 3 (as of 6 May 2010)
- Peer Review Recommendations Lessons Learned—Phase 4 (as of 6 May 2010)

Resources

- Contracting Senior Leader Conference Presentation (December 2, 2009)
- Contracting Senior Leader Conference Presentation (May 4-6, 2009)
- Peer Review Portal Guide (for Peer Review Team Members and Contracting
 Officers hosting a Peer Review)

Related Links

Up One Level

Panel on Contracting Integrity

DoDI 5000.02 (see subsection 9 under Procedures and subsection 6 under Acquisition of Services)

ttp://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/cpic/cp/peer_reviews.htm